

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiff,</i></div> v. NTT DATA SERVICES, LLC et al, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendants.</i></div>	§ § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00361-JRG (LEAD CASE)
<hr/>		
VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiff,</i></div> v. CYRUSONE, LLC, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendant.</i></div>	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00259-JRG (MEMBER CASE)
<hr/>		
VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiff,</i></div> v. DIGITAL REALTY TRUST, INC. et al, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendants.</i></div>	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00139-JRG (MEMBER CASE)
<hr/>		
VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD. and KEY PATENT INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiffs,</i></div> v. DIGITAL REALTY TRUST, INC. et al, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendants.</i></div>	§ § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00535-JRG (MEMBER CASE)
<hr/>		
VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD. and KEY PATENT INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiffs,</i></div> v. CYRUSONE, LLC, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendant.</i></div>	§ § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00534-JRG (MEMBER CASE)
<hr/>		
VERTIV CORPORATION, <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Plaintiff,</i></div> v. VALTRUS INNOVATIONS LTD., <div style="text-align: center;"><i>Defendant.</i></div>	§ § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00907-JRG (MEMBER CASE)

ORDER

Before the Court is the Joint Motion to Dismiss in Part Only with Respect to Defendant CyrusOne, LLC with Prejudice (the “Motion”) filed by Plaintiffs Valtrus Innovations, Ltd. and Key Patent Innovations Ltd. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), Defendant CyrusOne, LLC (“Defendant”), and Intervenor Vertiv Corporation (“Vertiv” and with Plaintiffs and Defendant, “the Parties”). (Dkt. No. 82.) In the Motion, the Parties request that the Court dismiss Member Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00259 and 2:24-cv-00534 with prejudice. (*Id.* at 2.)

Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that all claims and counterclaims that were, or could have been, brought in Member Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00259 and 2:24-cv-00534 are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Each party is to bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys’ fees. All pending requests for relief in the above-captioned Member Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00259 and 2:24-cv-00534 are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the above-captioned Member Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00259 and 2:24-cv-00534. The Clerk of Court is further directed to **MAINTAIN AS OPEN** the Lead Case No. 2:24-CV-00361-JRG.

So Ordered this

Nov 21, 2024



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE